THE VICIOUS CIRCLE

A vicious circle or virtuous circle (sometimes referred to as "cycle" instead of "circle") is a complex of events that reinforces itself through a feedback loop. A virtuous circle has favorable results, and a vicious circle has detrimental results. These cycles will continue in the direction of their momentum until an external factor intervenes and breaks the cycle.

In 2008, the Poverty and Human Rights Centre (PHRC) received funding from the Law Foundation of British Columbia to investigate the most effective means of fostering public test case litigation, and related legal research, law reform, and legal advocacy to advance the social rights of poor women, and, in particular, of Aboriginal women and single mothers.

As part of the Advancing the Rights of Poor Women Project, we conducted community consultations with front-line advocates and lawyers who work with poor women in Vancouver, Victoria, Prince Rupert, and Prince George. The purpose of these consultations was to twofold: 1) to confirm our reading of the central issues affecting poor women by touching base with those who are helping poor women to solve problems on a daily basis; and to 2) to test the interest of the front line advocates and lawyers in the development of broad litigation and law reform strategies to advance the rights of poor women.

The interest in the development of broad litigation and law reform strategies is overwhelming. Front line workers and advocates are, invariably, frustrated by confinement to dealing with problems individually rather than systemically, and with trying to deal with even individual problems without sufficient resources. Also, invariably, front line advocates and lawyers confirmed our reading that inadequate income assistance, inadequate or no housing, vanishing civil legal aid, male violence, lack of adequate child care, and high rates of child apprehension are central issues and they are integrally connected.

The striking feature of these consultations was the consistent description of a circle of connected events in the lives of poor women, which we refer to as the "vicious circle." Women may enter this circle at any point, and for different reasons. There is no particular event that always initiates the harmful momentum of the circle. Any one of the events identified can be the precipitating one. However, we heard that once a woman enters the vicious circle, however it happens, the likelihood of other harmful events in the circle occurring is greatly increased.

The connected events described by participants include: male violence, lack of adequate housing, inadequate welfare, child apprehension, lack of legal aid, and depression/addiction. These events are caused by, and are a consequence of,
sex and race discrimination. They are difficult to escape, especially without significant supports available. One participant described the circle of events for Aboriginal women this way: sexual abuse in childhood; addictions; poverty; inadequate welfare; loss of children; loss of housing.

Another participant described this circle of events: A woman seeks to leave a violent relationship, but there are few adequate supports. Often a woman needs social assistance so that she can support herself and her family independently from the violent partner. Once she is receiving social assistance, inadequate rates mean finding and maintaining adequate housing for herself and her children is difficult, if not impossible. Children may be apprehended because they have witnessed male violence, or because living conditions are considered poor enough to constitute “neglect”. Once children are apprehended, it is often hard for women to get them back. Shelter allowances are cut when children are not present, but a mother has to show that she has an adequate place for children to live before the children can be returned. Lack of legal aid to deal with separation matters, representation before children are taken away, welfare entitlements, and poor housing, makes it difficult to break out of the circle.

The women we consulted are clear that male violence, inadequate welfare, lack of adequate housing, lack of legal aid, and child apprehension are integrally connected in the experience of poor women, and that effective intervention requires dealing with these events and conditions simultaneously and holistically.

However, women seeking help find each event or condition is separated from the others. Each event - lack of adequate housing, a need for legal aid, child apprehension, violence, a family law dispute - involves a different government system or service, and the routes to resolving interconnected problems are rarely available. Welfare, family law, policing, and child welfare systems are not structured to address events considered to be outside the parameters of their particular service, even when those events or conditions create the situation over which they do have authority.

In two recent reports the Representative for Children and Youth, Mary Ellen Turpel-LaFond, has documented the tragic consequences of this “silico-ing” of services. In Housing, Home and Help: A Better Path for Struggling Families,¹ Ms. Lafond documents the story of a First Nations infant who was apprehended from his young mother and father when he was a healthy two-month old baby because they did not have adequate housing. The baby was placed in foster care, where he was permanently brain injured by his caregivers. He was then returned to his young mother and father, with cerebral palsy, blind in one eye, and unable to walk.

In “Honouring Christian Lee - No Private Matter: Protecting Children Living With Domestic Violence”, Ms. Turpel-Lafond dealt with the murders by Peter Lee of his wife, Sunny Park, his six-year old son Christian Lee, and his parents-in-law from Korea in their home in Oak Bay, Victoria in September 2007. Ms. Turpel found that there was no coordinated system connecting the criminal law, child welfare and family law authorities. Sunny Park and her son might still be alive if there been such co-ordination.\(^2\)

In this discussion paper, we describe each one of the connected events and conditions separately, through a review of what we learned during our consultations as well as a review of recent reports by non-governmental organizations. We acknowledge that in litigation it may be necessary to focus narrowly on a specific rule or policy. However, the overlap and interconnectedness between the various forces acting on poor women will be apparent in this report and needs to be made visible in future strategies.

The overall design of the Advancing the Rights of Poor Women project, and strategies that will be developed through it, is rooted in an understanding of this interconnection, and a recognition that each of these events can tip a woman into another inequality or deprivation in this vicious circle.

We note that the vicious circle cannot be disrupted merely by improving connections between existing systems that administer cruelly inadequate benefits and services. The goal of the Advancing the Rights of Poor Women project should be to create a virtuous circle of social policy that produces favourable results for women and children. A virtuous circle needs as core components: adequate welfare and secure, decent housing; accessible addiction treatment; effective protection from male violence; supports to keep children at home; access to education and childcare; and opportunities for decent employment.

**MALE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN**

The problem of male violence against women was part of every conversation we had with participants during our consultations.

Sometimes it arises as an issue of sexual abuse experienced by girls in their homes, which precipitates them into living on the streets, being homeless, and becoming involved in drug addiction and prostitution. Sometimes it is male violence in an adult domestic relationship that catapults a woman into dependence on inadequate welfare. Sometimes male violence is described as an ever-present risk for a homeless girl or woman, or just one of the realities encountered in shelters. Sometimes male violence is the justification for apprehending children.

Too little effective attention is paid to violent men; women and children pay the price. Usually when there is domestic violence, women and children leave the family home and the violent man stays. Women often bear the burden of seeking alternative housing, finding new support systems, trying to keep themselves and their children afloat and safe. Official strategies or policies for dealing with the needs of women who leave abusive relationships are seriously flawed and fragmented.

**Services**

2002 budget cuts in B.C. included cuts to 35 of 69 community-based victim services programs, and to the Crown Victim Witness Services program. In 2005, 43 new Outreach Programs (for a total of 54) were introduced to counsel and assist women and dependent children and to educate communities. But this initiative did not restore the most effective services for women, so gaps continue, and current funding remains insufficient to support the services needed by women who are victims of male violence.³

There do not appear to be consistent, effective protocols for police and Crown Counsel that ensure that they respond and adequately protect women who report male violence or who are who are in ongoing danger of male violence. With respect to male violence in adult domestic relationships, the Langley Domestic Violence Pilot Project,⁴ offers promise because there is a focus on the safety of the women victims, a concerted effort being made to co-ordinate the work of police and crown counsel and to move cases forward quickly. But the Langley project is localized and still considered experimental. In some police jurisdictions in B.C. there are domestic violence units, in others there are not. There is inconsistency in application of the province’s Violence Against Women in Relationships policy,⁵ and a lack of consistency in police recording of incidents of domestic violence.⁶

Shelters for women who are victims of male violence are increasingly under pressure to transform themselves from women-focussed, equality-supporting

---

organizations. They are being encouraged to become gender neutral, and to become “housing”, as a part of homelessness strategies; that is, just “beds”.

Tracking the changes in the Government's articulation of goals with respect to violence against women is revealing. In the Government's 2008 goals for the Ministry of Community Services a major goal was to:

- Prevent and respond to domestic violence.

The Ministry assigned two performance measures to this goal; first, tracking the number of women and children in transitional housing, and second, documenting the number of outreach services accessed by abused women and their children. Targets were set to remain constant over four years from 2007 to 2011.7

In 2009, the Ministry of Community Services was restructured, and the goal of preventing and responding to domestic violence was transferred to the Ministry of Housing and Social Development (MHSD).8

The 2008/09 MHSD Annual Service Plan Report9 concluded that the performance measures of maintaining constant rates of use for transitional housing and outreach programs have been “substantially met” and “exceeded”, respectively. However, it also noted that these performance indicators would not be featured in the MHSD Service Plan Report for 2009/10 as a result of restructuring of the Ministry's 2009/10 - 2011/12 Service Plan.10 The new service plan11 includes the goal of providing “a range of housing and support services targeted to those most in need”, with one strategy being to provide funding and policy support for transitional and second stage housing. No performance measures are attached to this goal.12

There is an obvious disjunct between embracing the broad goal of preventing and responding to domestic violence, and measuring the government’s success at meeting that goal by: 1) determining whether rates of service usage remain at a constant level and 2) treating shelters and transition houses, which are designed specifically to assist women who have experienced male violence, as “housing”.

---

Most recently, responsibility for victims services and counselling appears to have been transferred to the Ministry of the Public Safety and the Solicitor General, which is likely to mean that these services are much more tightly tied to a criminal justice perspective, rather than a women’s equality perspective. We also heard that women who are victims of male violence find themselves in a catch-22. In order to access services they have to declare that they are victims of violence, but if they do declare that they are victims of violence, that history may come back to haunt them. We were told, for example, that women in family law disputes are often advised not to mention that they are victims of violence or that they have evidence of, or suspect, child abuse.

Women who are caregivers for children want and need to appear strong. But women have to highlight their weaknesses to qualify for many services.

- Women and Policing

Women continue to feel that law enforcement agencies and the justice system do not treat male violence against them seriously or effectively. The lack of coordination, previously noted, among various services from whom women may seek help, is problematic. So is the simple matter of being able to rely on the police to come to the assistance of a woman when she calls.

In the Downtown Eastside and in our consultations in the North, we heard repeatedly about the high levels of violence against Aboriginal women.

Aboriginal women aged 25-44 are five times more likely than other Canadian women of the same age to die of violence. The Native Women’s Association of Canada has now documented the disappearances and murders of 520 Aboriginal women and girls over the last thirty years. Of the 520 cases:

- 43% of disappearances and 50% of murders occurred during or since 2000;
- 43% remain open (no one charged);
- 26% of cases are in British Columbia;
- 52% are women and girls under 30;
- majority of women were mothers.

The Native Women’s Association of Canada, as well as Walk 4 Justice and other groups, believe that the number is actually much higher, since the incidents NWAC has entered into its database are only the ones that have been acknowledged by the police and/or reported by the media. This situation has led many organizations in British Columbia to call for a public inquiry into the failure of law enforcement agencies and the justice system. British Columbia non-governmental organizations have called on the Government of British Columbia to establish a full public inquiry into the responses of law enforcement agencies regarding missing and murdered women, and into the root causes of the violence. United Native Nations, which represents approximately 90,000 Aboriginal people in BC, the B.C. Civil Liberties Association, the Union of B.C. Indian Chiefs, the B.C. CEDAW Group, Walk 4 Justice, the Aboriginal Women’s Action Network, and the Carrier Sekani group of First Nations have all called for a public inquiry.15

In addition to the disappearances and murders along the infamous Highway of Tears—where 18 women, all Aboriginal but one, have disappeared—women in the North are concerned about eight young women who have died in Prince George in the past year, ostensibly from drug overdoses. There is concern in the community that these deaths may represent continuing violence connected to the sexual abuse of Aboriginal girls by Judge David Ramsay,16 because some of the deaths are of girls who were his victims. Judge David Ramsay plead guilty in 2006 to charges of sexual assault and procuring for his involvement with four First Nations girls, who were between twelve and sixteen at the time of his attacks on them, and who had all appeared before him in youth criminal court or family court.

Women participants told us that in their experience police do not act to protect women and they do not investigate effectively. What we heard is supported by Amnesty International’s 2004 report Stolen Sisters: Discrimination and Violence against Indigenous Women in Canada, which concludes that police in Canada fail to provide Aboriginal women with an adequate standard of protection, and further that:17

---


16 For more information about the Ramsay case, see http://www.justiceforgirls.org/justicesystemmonitoring/cc_Ramsay%20Updated.html.

Social and economic marginalization of Aboriginal women, including some government policies, has pushed indigenous women into extreme poverty, homelessness and prostitution. This places women in positions of vulnerability and they are exploited, sometimes violently, by both Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal men;

The people who carry out the violent acts believe that societal indifference to Aboriginal women will allow them to escape justice.

SOCIAL ASSISTANCE

The women we consulted spoke about a variety of shortcomings with the province’s social assistance system. We heard repeatedly about administrative barriers that keep women from receiving social assistance. Inadequacy of social assistance rates also remains a key concern.

There have been substantial budget cuts over the last eight years that have had a significant impact on services. In 2002, the Province cut the operating budget of the Ministry responsible for social assistance by $581 million (30%) over three years. The cut grew to $609 million in the 2003 Budget. To achieve the cut, the Ministry eliminated 459 full-time equivalent positions and closed 36 welfare offices across the province. The Ministry made up the remaining cut by cutting welfare benefits and further tightening of eligibility rules.18 The cuts and rule changes are felt directly in women’s lives.

Eligibility rules have become serious barriers to receiving social assistance. We heard that requirements such as the requirements for documentation and the three-week wait are so onerous that some women do not even bother to apply.

Between 2002 and 2005, the number of claimants receiving social assistance plummeted. Since 2002, there has been a 70% reduction in the employable income assistance caseload, which follows a 47% reduction between 1996 and 2002.19 The number of lone mothers obtaining social assistance halved between 2002 and 2005.20

---

20 Precarious and Vulnerable, supra, note 18.
The acceptance rate of those applying for social assistance has dropped from 90% in June 2001 to 51% in September 2004.\textsuperscript{21} The Office of the Ombudsman released a March 2009 report regarding unfairness in the social assistance system that criticized MHSD for claiming that the continuing decline reflected success in assisting employable clients to move into the workforce. In fact, the Ministry’s reporting does not document what happens to people who apply for social assistance but do not complete the eligibility assessment process. The Ombudsman recommended:

...that by July 1, 2009 the ministry track the number of applications it receives and whether an application is approved, abandoned or denied. The ministry has agreed to use Statistics Canada data to track whether people who abandon an income assistance application move on to employment or an educational program within two months and report this data publicly by September 1, 2010. The ministry will also develop reliable and effective mechanisms to track and publicly report these results on an annual basis beginning in 2011.\textsuperscript{22}

This information is not available yet.

We heard that the two-year work requirement has a disproportionate impact in the North because it is a depressed area economically and unemployment is extremely high. The two-year work requirement acts as a barrier for people who need social assistance in this area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rules bar women from improving their education or earning income while receiving social assistance.</th>
<th>The Province has also made a number of other changes to in social assistance rules:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Before 2002, welfare recipients could pursue an education while on welfare and could move into decent employment. That is no longer possible;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Rules require mothers on welfare to sign over maintenance rights. Mothers are not permitted to keep anything, despite the inadequacy of welfare rates;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{21} \textit{Ibid.} at 16.
• All employment income is clawed back. BC is the only province that does not have an earning exemption for single mothers on income assistance.\(^{23}\)

We also heard from women with disabilities that the rate of denials of applications for Persons with Disability (PWD) Assistance is going up.

Also, to obtain the disability supports that they need, women with disabilities have to find a nurse who will fill out forms verifying that they require the supports. But often women with disabilities do not have a nurse who can fill out a form saying they need a certain type of cushion for their wheelchair or dietary supplements. There are significant barriers to obtaining the aides and supplements to which PWD are entitled.

- There is an increased rate of rejection of PWD applications
- PPMB status is difficult to obtain

Women do not receive Persons with Persistent and Multiple Barriers (PPMB) status until they have been on welfare for 12 of the previous 15 months. PPMB, is a status that is supposed to be for people who are not permanently or totally disabled but who are unable to work because of disability, language, or level of education. This classification is not well designed to deal with the group of people who are not permanently disabled. They cannot move onto and off PPMB quickly, to reflect the real changes in their disability status.

• Rates Inadequate

From every front line worker and lawyer we heard that social assistance rates are wholly inadequate to support women and their children.

Other participants also told us that rates are so inadequate that women’s conditions are desperate and they cannot support themselves and their children decently. The inadequacy of welfare rates is a central issue, affecting access to housing, ability to escape violence, and child apprehensions. Many participants also reported that some women in BC now engage in survival sex or prostitution to obtain food, or shelter, or additional money.

The most recent version of SPARC BC’s periodic report comparing income assistance rates and cost of living confirms the inadequacy of social assistance rates. It concludes that total incomes for households on income assistance met only 45% of the monthly expenses for a single adult; 72% of the expenses of a single parent with a three-year-old; 46% of a childless couple’s expenses; 62% of the expenses of a single parent with a teenager; and 70% of the expenses of a couple with two children under six.\(^{24}\)

\(^{23}\) Ibid.
\(^{24}\) Supra, note 19.
In a 2007 report, the BC Coalition of People with Disabilities reviewed the inadequacy of benefits and the many shortcomings in the operation of the social assistance system for persons with disabilities. The Coalition concluded that the income assistance and support programs are not meeting the needs of British Columbians with disabilities.25

Many women with disabilities use the support portion of their benefits to pay rent because the shelter allowance is so inadequate and welfare disability supports are severely inadequate. For example, MHSD covers about 70% of what most dentists charge, so women pay for dental care out of food money. If their children need dental care, women cannot afford it. Workers at the BC Coalition of People with Disabilities now see clients who are hungry when they come into the office seeking advice and support.

• Interaction with Other Public Services

As noted earlier, we heard repeatedly that responses to issues of women's unemployment, welfare, and housing are fragmented.

For example, the interaction between social assistance and childcare is problematic. Women with children over the age of three are expected to work, but they have a hard time finding daycare and sometimes have a hard time getting it approved.

Single mothers who do not have extended family are at great risk. If a woman has a child under three, it is almost impossible to find regulated childcare. There is unlicensed care, but it may not be safe and adequate. Also there is a problem about flexible childcare times for women who are working weekends, or evenings. And then if a woman finds a job she will be cut off welfare, but she still cannot afford childcare even if she can find it.

• Leaving Social Assistance

It is difficult for women to move off welfare because there is little employment available for women who have low educational attainment that provides a decent wage.

Men are more likely to get a decent wage for labouring work, but for women it is low wage, low mobility work. It is easier for men to get casual labour work than for women, and even harder for racialized

| Women leaving social assistance have difficulty finding jobs that pay adequate wages. | Benefits? How Disability Benefits are Failing British Columbians (September 2007), online: http://www.bccpd.bc.ca/docs/whobenefits07.pdf. |

Rates continue to be wholly inadequate to meet basic needs of women and children receiving social assistance.
women and Aboriginal women. Women’s casual work tends to require better clothes, better presentation.
HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS

• Shortage of Adequate and Affordable Housing

In Vancouver, Victoria, and the Northern communities, despite some provincial and local homelessness initiatives, lack of adequate housing remains a key issue.

There is not enough affordable, decent housing. The housing that is available is often not appropriate for women with children. Income assistance is not flexible in how it provides assistance to women seeking adequate shelter.

There is a severe shortage of affordable and adequate housing for women with disabilities.

Housing is a particular challenge for women with disabilities. Women with disabilities need to find affordable and adequate housing that is also accessible. Women who need places with limited number of stairs have difficulty finding anywhere that is suitable. Many homeless people are persons with disabilities, in particular people with mental health problems.

Secure housing for senior women is a central issue. Many senior women are trying to live on wholly inadequate pensions. We heard that if a woman has only basic Canadian Pension Plan and Old Age Security, she is living on about $1,300 a month, which is better than welfare, but older women also have more medical expenses.

Senior women are being affected by “renovictions” in areas like the West End of Vancouver and they are being ousted from apartments and neighbourhoods they have lived in for a long time. They also feel insecure about the housing they have. For example, we heard of women unwilling to inform landlords of broken fixtures, leaks or other problems because the women are afraid of being turned out and of being unable to find an affordable alternative.

There are too few long term care beds for the number of elderly women who need them, and many beds have been turned into “assisted living”, which means that more of the costs rest with the resident.

BC’s Auditor General recently released a report, Home and Community Care Services: Meeting Needs and Preparing for the Future, which notes the projected increase in the number of seniors (in BC, from 15% of the population in 2006 to 24% in 2031) and that 70% of the users of home care services are seniors. The report concludes that “[t]he Ministry of Health Services is not adequately fulfilling its stewardship role in helping to ensure that the home and community care
system has the capacity to meet the needs of the population”.\textsuperscript{26} The Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives recently reached a similar conclusion.\textsuperscript{27}

Shortages of adequate housing are particularly acute in Vancouver's Downtown Eastside, where the number of SROs continues to decrease,\textsuperscript{28} and on Aboriginal reserves.

- **Homelessness**

BC's Auditor General recently observed that despite the growing problem of homelessness, the Province has not developed a clear direction for addressing the issue.\textsuperscript{29}

Shelters, the prevailing approach to housing the homeless, are not adequate housing. Many homeless people do not want to use them because they are unhealthy, noisy, and there is often violence. There are few shelters where women are separated from men. In mixed shelters, women encounter sexual harassment and assaults. Being homeless has serious risks for women: assaults, rapes, sexual harassment, unwanted pregnancies.\textsuperscript{30}

Women's mental health and addiction challenges are compounded by lack of housing. For example, we heard about a woman in Victoria who had a mental health crisis and left her belongings in a shelter. She sent friends to retrieve them, but the shelter had thrown out everything including clothes and baby pictures. This is standard practice. Without housing where women can store their small belongings, they have no way to hold on to their sense of connection and identity. Lack of any stable housing causes and exacerbates mental health issues.


\textsuperscript{30} While working on this project, the Poverty and Human Rights Centre intervened in the BC Court of Appeal in the appeal from *Victoria (City) v. Adams*, 2008 BCSC 1363 to raise these issues. The Court of Appeal's decision (2009 BCCA 563) recognized a limited right to shelter. See also Tamara, *Homelessness and Violence Against Women* (Vancouver: Battered Women's Support Services: ?), online: http://www.bwss.org/law-reform/homelessness-violence-against-women/.
Justice for Girls released a 2008 report, *More than Bricks and Mortar: A Rights-Based Strategy to Prevent Girl Homelessness in Canada*. The Report notes that 30-50% of homeless youth in Canada’s large cities are girls and they make up 6-12% of the overall homeless population. Aboriginal girls are disproportionately represented.  

### CIVIL LEGAL AID

This is a significant issue, raised by all participants and intertwined with all other issues, especially for women dealing with family law, police protection, tenancy, housing, welfare entitlements, and child apprehension issues.

Since 2002, the legal aid system in British Columbia has been decimated by successive cuts and rule changes. In 2002, the Province cut funding to the Legal Services Society (LSS) by 38%. The LSS cut full-time legal staff from 147 to 21, and replaced 60 offices around the province with nine regional centres. Legal aid is no longer provided for poverty law, which includes issues like tenancy and income assistance, or for family law except in cases of family violence or when children may be removed from the home.  

A 2004 report by the Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives concluded that women were bearing the brunt of the cuts because women’s need for legal services is overwhelmingly in the areas of family and poverty law, where deep cuts were made, rather than in criminal law legal aid, where almost no cuts were made.  

Writing in 2004, West Coast LEAF reported that prior to the 2002 cuts women received only 38% of LSS services; following the cuts, that dropped to 30%.

In a 2008 Battered Women’s Support Services report, *A Women’s Right to Legal Representation: A Critical Examination of Legal Aid in BC*, Karen Osachoff

---

32 See http://thetyee.ca/News/2004/02/12/Small-town_justice_steps_back_into_the_past/
observes that many women who have sought BWSS’s assistance are dissatisfied with the legal aid system:

Specifically, they state that they are ineligible, or alternatively if they are eligible, they are not satisfied with the time lawyers spent with them, they do not feel heard or respected and they report that the effect(s) of violence on the family are often left out of the legal proceedings.  

- Women were disproportionately affected by 2002 cuts to Legal Aid
- Women cannot obtain legal assistance and if they are eligible are not satisfied with the legal assistance available.

In early 2009, while our consultations were ongoing, the Legal Services Society (LSS) announced that as a result of an increased demand for services and an expected decline in non-government funding, it would implement further cuts including a 16% reduction in staff in the Lower Mainland, which translates to 38 fewer positions.  

Cuts also include:

- Cuts to the tariffs for family, immigration and criminal law;
- Stricter screening processes and eligibility requirements;
- Closing the family law clinic; and
- Reductions in services for people who cannot access legal representation through the LSS, including cuts to LawLINE staffing and family and other duty counsel.

It is of significant concern that a key part of the rationale given for the cuts is that need is increasing. According to the LSS, at the end of November 2008, referrals for emergency family services were 21% over budget and criminal referrals were up 5%. Immigration referrals were up 76% over the previous fiscal year.

West Coast LEAF, in particular, has expressed concern about these additional cuts.  

One of two press releases issued by LEAF tells the story of a woman LEAF has worked with who lost custody of her children because she decided not

36 See Legal Services Society Backgrounders at http://www.lss.bc.ca/assets/media/newsReleases/serviceAndStaffChanges.pdf and http://www.lss.bc.ca/assets/media/newsReleases/backgrounderServiceStaffChangesFeb25.pdf.
37 See http://www.thepetitionsite.com/1/access-to-justice.
to leave her children with her drunk ex-husband and was unable to obtain legal advice at the time about what she should do. Her husband's lawyer subsequently successfully argued she was denying access to the children.

Legal aid has repeatedly been cut, while the need for services has increased.

In early November, 2009, the Legal Services Society announced it would be closing five regional offices, discontinuing its LawLine Services, and laying off more than 50 staff.\textsuperscript{39}

The legal aid cuts of the last decade have had serious impacts on women and children.

Advocates from the Prostitutes Empowerment Education Resource Society (PEERS) report that plans are developed to help women get their kids back after they have been apprehended, or to have contact with them, but the system has broken down. Every one of the women PEERS has been dealing with has been denied legal aid to deal with these issues.

A related problem is a shortage of lawyers to take family law legal aid referrals. A recent LEAF study surveyed attitudes of law students towards family law practice found students were unlikely to choose to work in family practice because of prevailing negative perceptions of the work. LEAF suggests this perception contributes to a current shortage of family law lawyers available to provide services to women.\textsuperscript{40}

**CHILD APPREHENSION**

We consistently heard from the advocates and lawyers we consulted that child apprehension issues are a frequent part of the vicious cycle for poor women and that the problems in the system are many and varied.

The child apprehension system, particularly in its dealings with Aboriginal children, is in crisis and has been for a considerable period of time. Recent reports by the Child, Family and Youth Advocate and the Province's Auditor General identify ongoing shortcomings in the system.

In his 2006 review of the child protection system, the Honourable Ted Hughes observed:

\begin{flushright}
\end{flushright}
The strongest impression I have gleaned from this inquiry is one of a child welfare system that has been buffeted by an unmanageable degree of change. There has been a revolving door in senior leadership positions; emphasis in practice has shifted between child protection and family support; functions have been shifted out to the regions and then pulled back to centre; new dispute resolution processes have been introduced. And much of this has gone on against a backdrop of significant funding cuts, even though it is commonly understood that organizational change costs money.41

Mr. Hughes made a number of recommendations for improving the system. In a December 2008 report, the Representative for Children and Youth, Mary-Ellen Turpel-LaFond, observes that as of December, 2008 while some of the Hughes Review recommendations had been implemented, none of those relating to Aboriginal people had been.42 The Ministry of Children and Family Development (MCFD), which is responsible for the child protection system, agrees that the recommendations relating to Aboriginal families are “in progress”, three years later.43

• Reasons Children are Apprehended

Physical harm or abuse accounts for only about 10% of child apprehensions.44 In the vast majority of cases, apprehensions are due to poverty, addiction, mental health issues, or male violence in the home.45

Neglect is the form of abuse most frequently reported to MCFD. Neglect, which MCFD defines as the “failure to provide for a child’s basic needs: food, clothing, adequate shelter, supervision and medical care” is, almost by definition, poverty.46 41% of child apprehensions are from families in receipt of social assistance.47

Yet foster parents receive more money for care of the children than parents on welfare. Foster parents are able to better provide for the children than the

44 *Inaction and Non-Compliance, supra*, note 3 at 54.
47 *Inaction and Non-Compliance, supra*, note 3 at 54.
children’s parents because of differences in financial supports provided by government. 48

Children are also sometimes apprehended because there is violence against their mother by a domestic partner. According to 2003 research on child welfare investigations across Canada, children witnessing their mother’s abuse was the second most commonly cited form of abuse or neglect, accounting for 28% of cases.49

But the MCFD does not help women keep violent men away. The advocates we consulted reported, for example, that some women who need protection intervention orders have been told to deal with the men on their own.

A key conclusion in a recent report by Pivot Legal Society was that survivors of violence are poorly supported and, at times, re-victimized by the child protection system.50 Although in 2004 the MCFD adopted a set of best practice guidelines for social work practice in cases involving domestic violence, which suggest an approach where a child is only to be apprehended in the rarest of cases, Pivot reports the guidelines are not being followed.

During our consultation with Pivot lawyer Lobat Sadrehashemi, she referred to a New York State case regarding mothers whose children were removed solely because the children had witnessed violence. The New York State Court of Appeals decided unanimously in Nicholson v. Scoppetta51 that a child has not been neglected simply because he or she has witnessed domestic violence.

• The System Fails Aboriginal Women and their Children

More than half of the children in care in British Columbia are Aboriginal. An Aboriginal child is around six times more likely to be taken into care than a non-Aboriginal child.52 While the number of non-Aboriginal children in state care has

50 Broken Promises, supra, note 45 at 11.
51 USCOA, 2 No. 113, online: http://www.nycourts.gov/courts/appeals/decisions/oct04/113opn04.pdf.
decreased, the number of Aboriginal children being taken into care continues to rise.\textsuperscript{53}

Many of the apprehensions from Aboriginal women are as a result of reports of neglect. The Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives notes that “[i]n many cases, this neglect is an effect of poverty. Aboriginal children are twice as likely to be poor than non-Aboriginal children--Aboriginal children under 6 have a poverty rate of 40 per cent compared to a poverty rate of 18 per cent for non-Aboriginal children under 6.”\textsuperscript{54}

We were told there is a particular problem of Aboriginal children being apprehended at birth, usually because of a history of poverty, reliance on welfare, and the youth of the mother. In Prince George, approximately a dozen children have been apprehended from Aboriginal mothers at birth in the past year. The mothers are not provided with supports that would permit them to keep and bond with their children. This is permanently damaging for the children and the mothers.

Pivot reports that in Vancouver social workers at the VACFSS use a standardized risk assessment tool to predict the likelihood of future harm if a child is left in his or her present circumstances. There is no research that confirms that the factors child welfare practitioners believe to be indicators of risk are good predictors of future harm to children. Notably:

One section of the assessment relates to the abuse or neglect suffered by the parent as a child. Social workers assign a score based on the severity of the abuse suffered as well as disruptions to attachments during childhood. For children who grew up in care, their life history, including the factors that led to their apprehension, as well as any subsequent instances of abuse and the number of different foster homes they lived in while in care, is readily available to the social worker making the assessment. Factors that relate to the parent’s childhood negatively affect the outcome of assessments for parents who grew up in state care (whether foster care or residential schools), a disproportionate number of whom are Aboriginal.\textsuperscript{55}

The current risk assessment model is designed so Aboriginal parents and parents who grew up in care are likely to continue to see their children taken into care at a higher rate than other parents. Without any attention to the strengths,

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{53} Ibid. at 18-19.
  \item \textsuperscript{54} Seth Klein \textit{et al}, \textit{A Poverty Reduction Plan for BC} (Vancouver: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives, 2008) at 23, online: http://www.policyalternatives.ca/~ASSETS/DOCUMENT/BC_Office_Pubs/bc_2008/ccpa_bc_poverty_reduction_full.pdf.
  \item \textsuperscript{55} \textit{Broken Promises, supra}, note 45 at 86-87.
\end{itemize}
present coping strategies and the larger environment in which they live, these groups are likely to continue to be overrepresented in the child welfare system.

- The child apprehension system is failing Aboriginal women and their children
- Children are removed disproportionately from Aboriginal families
- Aboriginal children are apprehended at birth from young poor mothers
- Risk assessment tools increase the likelihood of Aboriginal children being taken into care.

In 2008, BC's Auditor General released *Management of Aboriginal Child Protection Services*,\(^{56}\) which concludes that: 1) the MCFD has not identified the needs and resources required for Aboriginal child protection services, 2) the MCFD’s change management practices are not in step with its current service delivery goals, and 3) the MCFD does not report on how well Aboriginal child protection services are being delivered.

Also, Mary-Ellen Turpel-LaFond notes that while the Province’s April 2008 *Strong, Safe and Supported: A Commitment to B.C.’s Children and Youth* “makes a commitment” to “closing the gap” between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal children in terms of health, safety, education and well-being, “...no measures have been set to define what this means, no lead responsibility has been identified, and it is not clear what outcomes support progress, or are targeted year-to-year across government”.\(^{57}\)

Kelly MacDonald for the CCPA observes the MCFD’s implementation of establishing Regional Aboriginal Authorities to oversee aboriginal child protection, recommended by the Hughes Report, has been confusing and difficult and has not yet resulted in the establishment of any permanent Aboriginal authorities.\(^{58}\)

**Failure to Attempt Less Disruptive Options Prior to Removal**

The women we consulted say the MFCD does not provide supports to women that would help them to look after their own children; that is, they do not take steps to prevent situations that result in the children being taken away.

For example, we heard that many women who are in their 30s or older who may have Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder have not been diagnosed because

---

\(^{56}\) *Supra*, note 52.

\(^{57}\) *Progress Report, supra*, note 42 at 11.

\(^{58}\) Kelly A. MacDonald, *The Road to Aboriginal Authority over Child and Family Services: Considerations for an Effective Transition* (November 2008: Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives and Centre for Native Policy and Research) at 6, online: http://www.policyalternatives.ca/~ASSETS/DOCUMENT/BC_Office_Pubs/bc_2008/CCPA_BC_Aboriginal_Authority.pdf.
recognition of the disorder is fairly recent, so they do not have access to services and supports that will help them stay with their children. For women who have been diagnosed with the disorder, there is one collaborative care home for women and children. Collaborative care can work. But there are only three beds.

Adequate housing to keep families together is a key concern. BC’s Representative for Children and Youth’s recent report regarding a young First Nations couple, whose two-month-old boy was taken into care because of inadequate housing, noted that although there was discretion in the system to help them, they did not receive assistance. Instead, their child was taken into foster care, placed in three successive foster homes that had no connection to his culture, and was profoundly injured while in foster care. According to the Representative, “[t]he removal of children through the child welfare system appears to be the default approach that kicks in when other supports and services (emergency housing, transitional supports for families on the move) are not in place or are difficult to access”.

A recent report by Pivot confirms what we heard during our consultations about a shortage of services to keep women and children together. In Hands Tied, Child Protection Workers Talk About Working in and Leaving BC’s Child Welfare System, Pivot researchers interviewed former child protection workers about their experiences in the system. Of the former workers Pivot surveyed, only 29% responded they could “always” or “usually” fully explore options for less disruptive measures before removing a child. The researchers note that the concern over the lack of services was most pronounced among respondents from Aboriginal service teams, 63% of whom said they were “rarely” or “never” able to provide adequate services.

We also heard that if women are receiving social assistance, once children are apprehended, the housing portion of welfare is reduced, which makes it more difficult for women to locate and afford adequate housing. A woman will not have her children returned unless she can show that she has fit housing for them.

Pivot reports that the Ministry of Housing and Social Development (“MHSD”) will reduce a mother’s shelter allowance when her children have been removed, even if they are in temporary care of the MCFD or the Vancouver Aboriginal Child and Family Services Society and not in permanent care. The current policy of MHSD permits a mother’s shelter allowance to be cut immediately following a removal, although financial assistance workers have discretion to maintain the shelter allowance for up to three months.

---

61 Broken Promises, supra, note 45.
We also heard that women who have children apprehended who are living in social housing are notified that they will lose their housing.

When having adequate housing or treatment for substance abuse is the issue preventing children from being returned to their parents, Pivot also points out that no government agency takes responsibility for providing the housing or treatment that is necessary to ensure that the children can be returned.\(^{62}\)

The housing portion of welfare is reduced when children are removed. This makes it more difficult to have children returned, since parents have to show that they have housing that is fit for children to return to.

- **Problems in the Post-Apprehension Hearing Process**

We heard that in many cases, the time it takes to resolve a child protection case is itself a barrier to children being returned. Children are seen to have bonded with the foster parents, and officials and courts are reluctant to return children to parents who are strangers. The *Child Family and Community Services Act (CFCSA)* says child protection hearings must be dealt with in a timely manner; however, there are systemic problems of administrative and court delay.

Pivot reports:

>A guiding principle in B.C.’s child welfare legislation is that “decisions about children should be made in a timely manner.” Accordingly, the legislation requires that court appearances adhere to a strict timeline. For example, once a child is removed, the first hearing, the presentation hearing, must take place within seven days of the removal. However, at this first hearing, the parent does not have the opportunity to tell her side of the story. If the parent is opposed to the removal, she has to schedule another date to appear before a judge. There is no timeline in the *CFCSA* for scheduling this hearing. The date of this hearing depends on a number of factors - the court’s availability and the schedule of parent’s counsel and counsel for the Director. Currently, there are three lawyers contracted to represent the Ministry in Vancouver. Given the busy schedule of parent’s counsel and the fact that the Ministry’s entire court caseload in Vancouver is handled by only three individuals, securing a date can be difficult. The result could be a delay of six to eight weeks. In the meantime, the child remains in care even though no judge has yet considered the reasonableness of the removal.\(^{63}\)

---


\(^{63}\) *Ibid.* at 80.
There are women, particularly Aboriginal women, who are not represented in child protection cases because they do not know to ask for representation. There is a high level of illiteracy among Aboriginal women, and they cannot navigate the system.

**Outcomes**

We were repeatedly told that many children in the child welfare system, particularly Aboriginal children, fare poorly. We heard that multiple placements and abuse experienced while in foster care contribute to homeless youth on the streets, addiction and involvement in prostitution, sending children into the same vicious cycle affecting their mothers.

BC's Auditor General recently observed: 64

> Neither the federal nor the B.C. government knows enough about the outcomes. What happens to these children who receive child welfare services? Are they better off? Our legislatures and Aboriginal and First Nations communities need to know if the services being provided make a difference. More and better information on outcomes is critical to measure the impact of services and to change or improve them where necessary.

Aboriginal communities see what happens to some of their children in foster care.

Less than 16% of Aboriginal children in care are placed with an Aboriginal caregiver. 65 Placing Aboriginal children with non-Aboriginal foster parents means children lose their sense of who they are. We repeatedly heard the child apprehension referred to as privatized residential schools. 66

There are not only consequences for the apprehended children. When women lose kids, they lose themselves, and mental health issues start to spiral.

Mothers fare poorly after apprehensions and their interest in keeping their families together is often overlooked in policy and in advocacy efforts.

Mothers with disabilities, particularly women with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder, are affected. They cannot keep appointments, they have no bus tickets or phones. These mothers who have children in care—even if they are not going to get their children back—need to see them, to stay connected. Yet child apprehension is mainly talked about as a problem for the apprehended children.

---

64 Management of Aboriginal Child Protection Services, supra, note 52 at 2.
65 Inaction and Non-Compliance, supra, note 3 at 54.
Social workers do not provide supports for mothers. Child apprehension must be understood to be a serious problem for the mothers and the children.

MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTION

In Vancouver, Victoria and in the Northern communities we visited, participants reported a shortage of detox beds and support services for women with mental health problems and addictions.

In Victoria, there are currently seven detox beds, which will be increasing to 21. These are mixed facilities, not separate beds for women. Also they are in a stabilization unit, where there is no real treatment. There are also detox facilities in Kelowna and Vancouver. But for women with no children who have no extended family, there is no assistance provided to find housing for children while a woman is seeking treatment.

UNDERFUNDING OF WOMEN’S ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER NGOS

Many of the participants we spoke with during the PHRC consultations work for NGOs that receive funding from the Province. The terms of the funding have become increasingly restrictive and hamper their ability to provide services women need. Women who are a part of the community they serve established many of these NGOs. They are often knowledgeable about the needs of the women they serve and about what works, but their funding is now tied to self-defeating requirements.

For example, PEERS reported that in its Bridging Employment Program it can only have a withdrawal rate of 35% of the 40 women. The women PEERS serves have addiction problems and associated relapses. The funding restriction forces PEERS to screen for the highest functioning women and to not serve the ones who are neediest and are likely go through the program two or three times. PEERS cannot serve the most disadvantaged women because of the focus on outcomes.

Smaller specialized agencies are not eligible to bid on larger government contracts to provide services because they would have to serve all “People with Multiple Barriers” across a region, not just in their own community. Because of these requirements, contracts go to large social service agencies, or private contractors, sometimes American corporations. The contracting process is biased against smaller agencies, and particularly women-run, community-rooted agencies.
Criteria for funding make it difficult for groups to serve the most vulnerable. Smaller groups are unable to provide services because of a bias towards larger organizations.

There is an essential need for core funding. Because of repeated cuts to government sources of funding, many NGOs only get this from gaming agencies. However, in late summer 2009, the Province made significant cuts to gaming funds available to community organizations. While much of the press attention focused on arts groups, women's organizations have also been affected. For example, as reported in Victoria's *Times Colonist*, the Ending Violence Association of British Columbia has announced that hundreds of battered and sexually abused women and children will be denied counseling and support this year following $400,000 in cuts to its programs.67

It is destructive to be forced to continually rely on project funding and detrimental to the women who need services that contracts are going to large agencies and that smaller, community-based ones cannot get core funding. One size fits all does not work for diverse client groups.

Funding terms are also politically charged. Women’s sexual assault centers, which have been key service providers for over 20 years, are being controlled by strings attached to funding.

**INTERVENING IN THE VICIOUS CIRCLE**

It is a difficult time for the Province’s poor women. They need help now from stable organizations with resources to interrupt the vicious circle.

We heard repeatedly and consistently that the PHRC could be helpful in assisting groups to build and participate in a broader strategy to address the systemic issues that poor women are facing and the interconnectedness of their problems. We believe that this report will give us a framework to start that work.